

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,145	06/22/2001	John M. Shanahan	65043-0038	8181	
10291	7590 03/11/2003				
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 140			EXAMINER		
			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610		510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3711		
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/ (		
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/888,145	SHANAHAN, JOHN M.		
,	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vishu K Mendiratta	3711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension		
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	originally set in the final Office action; or		
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR</li> </ol>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	•			
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.		
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	ion(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:	Denz	2/2		
		nin H. Layno ry Examiner		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Mueller is teaching a strip of paper with indicia. How this indicia is used is the intended method of playing that is not under consideration.